

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/830,434 | 04/26/2001 | Makoto Kobayashi | 109352 | 7153 |
| | 7590 10/06/2003 | | EXAMINER | |
| OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928 | | | SHAKERI, HADI | |
| ALEXANDRI. | A, VA 22320 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3723 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003

17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | 2 | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Advisory Action | 09/830,434 | KOBAYASHI ET AL. | | | | |
| ,,,,,, | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Hadi Shakeri | 3723 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 17 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whic | ation. A proper reply to a | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RI | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat | • | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the control of t | later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TO add to an which the petition under 37 CF | ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension | | | | |
| fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (| the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the ma | originally set in the final Office action; or | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | |
| (a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>The inclusion of subject matter of dependent claims into the independent claims, would require additional search and/or consideration since the argument regarding the structure of the polishing pad is not persuasive, i.e., the pad as claimed is considered prior art and not novel.</u> | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | tion(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | |
| | | Hadi Shaker Patent Examiner Art Unit: 3723 | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)